© Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2013



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073 dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

| Vol. II | THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY | 29th October 2013 2013 ഒക്ടോബർ 29 | No. | 13 |
|----------|-----------------------------|---|-------|----|
| വാല്യം 2 | തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ | 7th Karthika 1935 | നമ്പർ | 43 |
| • | | 1935 കാർത്തികം 7 | · | |

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1497/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 27th August 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Shelli Mohandas, Manager/Principal, WIZ-KIDS, Play Group Day Care, Kadappakkada Nagar No. 6, Kollam, Pin-691 008 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Quilon Shops & Establishment Employees Union (INTUC), Mundakkal, Kollam-691 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order:

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment of Smt. Valliyamma, Aya, WIZ-KIDS, Play Group Day Care, Kadappakkada Nagar-6, Kollam-8 by the Management WIZ-KIDS, Play Group Day Care, Kadappakkada Nagar-6, Kollam-8 is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to get?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1527/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st August 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion industrial dispute exists between Sri Narayan Bharathan, Proprietor, M/s Malayalam Exporting Enterprises, 237, Shalimar, Vrindhavan Nagar, Kadapakkada P. O., Kollam-691 008 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the (1) Sri S. Radhakrishnan, General Secretary, Kashuvandi Thozhilali Center, Mullasseri Bunglaw, Perumpuzha P. O., Kollam, (2) Sri B. Sujeendran, Secretary, C.I.T.U., Malayalam Exporting Enterprises Cashew Factory, Punnamukku, Perumpuzha P. O., Kollam, (3) Sri S. C. Abhilash, A.I.T.U.C. Secretary, Malayalam Exporting Enterprises Cashew Factory, Punnamukku, Perumpuzha P. O., Kollam, (4) Sri Sasidharan, U.T.U.C. Secretary, Malayalam Exporting Enterprises Cashew Factory, Punnamukku, Perumpuzha P. O., Kollam, (5) Sri Sreenivasan, I.N.T.U.C. Secretary, Malayalam Exporting Enterprises Cashew Factory, Punnamukku, Perumpuzha P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the action of the management of Malayalam Exporting Enterprises Cashew Company locking out the factory is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs entitled to?

(3)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1529/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st August 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that the industrial dispute exists between the President, Murukady Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangam Ltd. No. 144(D) APCOS, Murukady P.O., (Via.) Kumali, Idukki-685 535 and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri P. N. Sadasivan Pillai, Palakkal House, Vallardi P. O. Vandipperiyar, Idukki in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of from service of Sri P. N. Sadasivan Pillai, Milk Collector of Murukady Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangam Ltd. No. 144(D) APCOS, Murukady P.O., Idukki (Dist.) is justifiable or not; if not, what relief the worker is entitled to.

(4)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1538/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd September 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Vanchiyoor Co-operative Society Ltd. No. 1115, Vanchiyoor, Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri M. Rajashekaran Nair, Secretary, Kerala State Co-operative Employees Sangh, Reg. No. 187/84, Karamana, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Aruna, S. K., Smt. Priya, K. S. and Sri K. Chandran from the service of Vanchiyoor Co-operative Society Ltd. No. 1115 by its management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs they are entitled to?

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1553/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th September 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, S. K. Hospital, Edapazhinji, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Prof. T. N. Raman Pillai (Working President) Thiruvananthapuram District Private Hospital Employees Union (CITU), T. C. 2/1203, P.R.A.-97, K. K. Line, Pottakkuzhi, Thiruvananthapuram-2 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. P. Ammini, ANM Staff, S. K. Hospital, Thiruvananthapuram by the management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(6)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1556/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th September 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion an industrial dispute that exists between Sri. Suresh M. Pilla, Proprietor, City Fuels, Indian Oils Dealers, Mannanthala, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Sri. Rajendran, General Secretary, Motor & Engineering B.M.S. Bhavan, Mazdoor Sangh, Karamana, Thiruvananthapuram-2 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of employment to Sri. K. Sajeevkumar, Pump Operator by the management of City Fuels, Mannanthala is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(7)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1557/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th September 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, S. S. Hundai, Authorised Dealer, Opp. C.S.I. Church, Mitchel Junction, Mavelikara and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Automobile Showroom and Service Employees Union (A.I.T.U.C.), Reg. No. 3-9-2012, Chadayammuri Smarakam Opp. District Court, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri. Ajithkumar, K. and Sri. Maneesh, V. S., Sales Executives, S. S. Hundai, Mavelikara by the management is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs they are entitled to?

(8)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1561/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 4th September 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Chairman, KMM Hospital, Puthanpally, Perumpadappu P. O., Malappuram-679 580 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Mridula, P., Vattekkattu House, Mangad P. O., Pazhanji (Via.), Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication; Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Mridula, P., X-ray Technician, by the management of KMM Hospital, Puthanpally is justifiable? If not, what is the remedy?

By order of the Governor,

RAJANIKANT R. BALIGA,

Under Secretary to Government.